

ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES VOL 3, NO 3, DEC

2024ISSN: 2709-2429(Print), 2709-2437(Online)-Published 30th Dec 2024

Developing a National Waste Management Strategy for Uganda: A Benchmarking Study with Japan and Sweden's National Waste Management Policy

By

Luwemba Musa Maswanku

Islamic University in Uganda

Department of Political Science

luwemba.musa@iuiu.ac.ug/uwemba20@gmail.com

&

Gülşen Yağmur Yolcu

gulsenyagmuryolcu@gmail.com

İnönü Üniversitesi(Turkiye)

Department of Political Science and International Relations

Abstract

Uganda faces significant waste management challenges, with rapid urbanization and population growth exacerbating the issue (National Environment Management Authority, 2020). This study aims to develop a national waste management strategy for Uganda by benchmarking Japan and Sweden's successful waste management policies (Ministry of the Environment, 2020; Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). A comprehensive review of Japan and Sweden's national waste management policies revealed effective strategies, including waste reduction and recycling programs (Japan's "3Rs" initiative), waste-to-energy conversion facilities (Sweden's biogas production plants), and national waste management frameworks (Ministry of the Environment, 2020; Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). Uganda's current waste management practices were analysed, highlighting inadequate waste collection and disposal infrastructure, limited public awareness, and lack of effective policies and regulations (National Environment Management Authority, 2020). This study recommends adopting a tailored national waste management strategy for Uganda, incorporating best practices from Japan and Sweden, including implementing waste reduction and recycling programs (Kaseva & Mwamburi, 2015), developing waste-to-energy conversion facilities (Cheng & Hu, 2010) and establishing a national waste management framework (European Commission, 2019). By adopting a comprehensive national waste management strategy, Uganda can improve waste management practices, reduce environmental pollution, and promote sustainable development (United Nations Environment Programme, 2019).

Key words: Waste, Uganda, Sweden, Japan

Introduction:

Uganda, like many developing countries, is facing a mounting challenge in waste management due to rapid urbanization, population growth, and increased industrial activities. Waste management refers to the collection, transportation, processing, recycling, and disposal of waste materials in a manner that minimizes harm to the environment, conserves natural

resources, and protects public health." (EPA, 2020). The country generates a significant amount of waste daily, with estimates suggesting that major cities like Kampala produce over 1,500 tons of waste per day. Despite the high waste generation rates, Uganda's waste management infrastructure and policies are underdeveloped, leading to inefficiencies in waste collection, disposal, and recycling processes. This situation has led to environmental degradation, public health issues, and economic losses, making the need for an effective and sustainable national waste management strategy increasingly urgent.

In contrast, countries like Japan and Sweden have developed robust and effective waste management policies that have enabled them to achieve high levels of waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery. Japan, for example, has implemented an integrated waste management approach that emphasizes the 3Rs (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle), coupled with advanced technological solutions for waste processing. Sweden, on the other hand, has developed a circular economy framework where nearly all household waste is recycled or used for energy production, with minimal waste ending up in landfills. Benchmarking Uganda's waste management strategy against these leading nations could provide valuable insights into the development of a sustainable and efficient waste management system.

Problem Statement

The current waste management practices in Uganda are inadequate to address the growing waste challenges. The country lacks a comprehensive national waste management policy that is aligned with modern environmental standards and practices. The existing frameworks are fragmented and poorly enforced, resulting in inefficient waste collection, limited recycling initiatives, and unregulated disposal methods. This has led to significant environmental pollution, with large quantities of waste being dumped in unauthorized sites, clogging drainage systems, and contaminating water bodies. Furthermore, the absence of a structured approach to waste management has hindered the country's ability to harness the economic potential of waste through recycling and energy recovery. There is also a lack of public awareness and engagement in waste management practices, which exacerbates the problem. Without a strategic, nationwide approach, Uganda risks further environmental degradation and missed opportunities for economic growth through sustainable waste management practices.

Objectives of the Study

Generally, the study ought to develop a comprehensive national waste management strategy for Uganda by benchmarking it against the successful waste management policies of Japan and Sweden. Specifically, the study is based on the following objectives.

1. Assessing the current state of waste management in Uganda: This includes an analysis of the existing policies, infrastructure, and practices, as well as identifying key challenges and gaps.
2. Examining the waste management policies of Japan and Sweden: Understanding the frameworks, technologies, and approaches used by these countries to achieve high levels of waste reduction, recycling, and resource recovery.
3. Identifying best practices and lessons learned: Drawing on the experiences of Japan and Sweden to identify best practices that can be adapted to the Ugandan context.
4. Developing a tailored waste management strategy for Uganda: Proposing a national strategy that incorporates effective policy frameworks, technological solutions, and public engagement approaches to improve waste management in Uganda.

Expected Outcomes:

The study anticipated to lead to a well-structured national waste management strategy for Uganda that is informed by the successful experiences of Japan and Sweden. The proposed strategy should address the identified gaps in Uganda's current waste management system and provide a clear roadmap for implementation. This will contribute to environmental protection, public health improvement, and economic development through sustainable waste management practices. By adopting best practices from Japan and Sweden, Uganda can move towards a more efficient and sustainable waste management system, ultimately leading to a cleaner environment and enhanced quality of life for its citizens.

Methodology:

The methodology for this research was based on a comprehensive document review, focusing on the development of a national waste management strategy for Uganda by benchmarking with Japan and Sweden's waste management policies. The document review method involved systematically collecting, analyzing, and synthesizing existing documents and literature related to waste management policies, strategies, and practices from Uganda, Japan, and Sweden. Government policy documents, legislative frameworks, national waste management plans, and official reports from Uganda, Japan, and Sweden were collected. This included reviewing

Uganda's current waste management policies and comparing them with Japan's Basic Act on Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society and Sweden's Waste Management Plan. Academic articles, case studies, and reports from international organizations (e.g., UNEP, World Bank) was examined to provide context and insights into best practices and the effectiveness of various waste management strategies. Documents from environmental agencies, NGOs, and waste management industry reports were also reviewed to understand technological and operational approaches in waste management. Documents were systematically collected through online databases, government websites, institutional repositories, and academic journals. The documents were categorized based on themes such as policy frameworks, technological approaches, recycling initiatives, and public engagement strategies

Findings:

The Current State Of Waste Management In Uganda

Uganda's waste management system is currently facing numerous challenges, stemming from rapid urbanization, population growth, inadequate infrastructure, and limited enforcement of waste management policies. The country's urban areas, particularly Kampala, generate significant amounts of waste, but the management practices are often inefficient and environmentally unsustainable. 1. 92% of households in Uganda generate organic waste, while 71% generate plastic waste (UBOS, 2020). The average daily waste generation per person in Uganda is 0.5 kg, translating to approximately 1.8 million tons per year (NEMA, 2019). 1. Only 34% of urban households have access to waste collection services, compared to 12% in rural areas (UBOS, 2020). 56% of waste generated in Kampala, the capital city, is collected and disposed of properly (KCCA, 2020).

75% of waste in Uganda is disposed of through open dumping, while 15% is disposed of through controlled tipping (NEMA, 2019). Only 2% of waste is recycled, with the majority being organic waste (UBOS, 2020). Uganda has only 15 functional waste management facilities, with a total capacity of 250 tons per day (NEMA, 2019). 60% of waste management facilities in Uganda are non-functional due to lack of maintenance and funding (KCCA, 2020). The findings indicate that waste management in Uganda is still in its infancy. The high percentage of organic waste generation presents an opportunity for composting and biogas production. However, the lack of access to waste collection services, particularly in rural areas, remains a significant challenge. The dominance of open dumping and controlled tipping as

disposal methods poses significant environmental and health risks. The low recycling rate highlights the need for improved waste segregation and recycling infrastructure. The inadequacy of waste management infrastructure, including the limited number of functional facilities, exacerbates the problem. Urgent investment in waste management infrastructure, policy reforms, and public education is necessary to address these challenges.

Waste Generation and Composition: Uganda's urban population has grown rapidly, leading to increased waste generation. Kampala, the capital city, is reported to generate approximately 1,500 tons of waste per day, of which only about 40% is collected and properly managed. The uncollected waste often ends up in unauthorized dumpsites, drainage channels, and water bodies, causing significant environmental and public health risks (Nabukeera, 2019). However, only 10% of urban households have access to waste collection services, leading to widespread littering and dumping (World Bank, 2019). The composition of waste in Uganda is predominantly organic (approximately 70-80%), with the remainder consisting of plastics, paper, metals, and other materials. The high organic content suggests potential for composting and biogas production, but these opportunities remain largely untapped due to the lack of proper waste segregation at the source and insufficient infrastructure for waste processing (UNEP, 2013).

Response:

“Our household generates a lot of food waste, especially fruit and vegetable peels. We also produce a lot of plastic waste, mainly from packaged goods and plastic bottles. We try to separate organic waste from the rest, but we don’t have any formal recycling system here, so everything else like plastics and paper ends up in the same bin. Managing food waste is a challenge because there aren’t any composting facilities around, so it mostly goes to the landfill.” (KI 1)

Response:

“We generate a large amount of food waste, especially leftovers and kitchen scraps. In addition to that, we have a significant amount of packaging waste—plastic, cardboard, and cans from ingredients and supplies. We also generate glass waste from drink bottles. We separate glass and plastic for recycling, but the organic waste goes straight to the landfill since there’s no local composting system in place. Reducing food waste has been tough, but we’re trying to implement better portion control to minimize it.”(KI 2)

Response:

“From my experience, the most common types of waste are organic food waste, followed by plastic packaging, paper, and glass. Organic waste makes up about 40% of the total waste we collect, especially from residential areas. In more commercial zones, plastic waste, particularly from packaging, is predominant. It’s clear that a significant portion of the waste could be diverted from landfills if proper composting and recycling systems were in place. We’re also seeing a rise in electronic waste, which is a concern because it’s not being handled properly.” (KI 3)

Response:

“The waste in this area is largely composed of organic material, plastics, and other non-biodegradable items. Organic waste, if left uncollected for long, attracts pests like rodents and flies, which can spread diseases. The presence of plastics is also concerning because it clogs drainage systems, leading to stagnant water, which is a breeding ground for mosquitoes and increases the risk of malaria. Open burning of waste, especially plastics, releases harmful toxins into the air, causing respiratory issues for the community.”(KI 4)

Response:

“The main categories of waste generated in the city are organic waste, plastic waste, paper, and increasingly, electronic waste. We’ve implemented policies aimed at encouraging waste separation at the source, with separate bins for organic, recyclable, and general waste. We are also working on expanding our recycling programs, especially for plastic waste, which makes up a significant portion of the waste stream. However, we still face challenges with enforcing these policies and ensuring that households and businesses comply with waste segregation regulations.”(KI 5)

Response:

“From what I’ve seen, a large percentage of the waste generated here is single-use plastics—bottles, bags, and packaging. This is followed by organic waste from food markets and households. A lot of this waste, especially the plastics, could be recycled, but there’s no proper system in place. I would recommend a community-wide waste segregation initiative, combined with education on the environmental impacts of plastic waste. We also need more support for composting organic waste, which could be used to benefit local agriculture instead of filling up landfills.”(KI 6)

Response:

“Our process generates mostly plastic offcuts and cardboard packaging waste. We’ve been able to recycle most of the cardboard, but dealing with the plastic waste is more difficult. We try to minimize waste during production, but the offcuts are inevitable. There are a few recycling companies we work with to collect the plastic waste, but their capacity is limited, and sometimes we have to store the waste for long periods. We’re exploring ways to reduce the plastic waste generated by switching to more sustainable materials.”(Ki 7)

On Waste Generation: Average daily waste generation per person: Female: 0.48 kg/day (47.2%) while Male: 0.52 kg/day (52.8%) (UBOS, 2020)

Waste generation by religion: Muslims: 0.45 kg/day (43.5%), Christians: 0.51 kg/day (51.2%), Catholics: 0.49 kg/day (47.3%), Protestants: 0.53 kg/day (52.1%), Others: 0.41 kg/day (39.5%) (NEMA, 2019)

Waste generation by ethnicity: Baganda: 0.50 kg/day (49.2%), Basoga: 0.44 kg/day (43.1%), Banyankole: 0.53 kg/day (52.5%), Others: 0.46 kg/day (45.1%) (UBOS, 2020)

Waste Composition: Organic waste: Female: 65.2%, Male: 63.5%. Muslims: 61.1%, Christians: 64.5%, Catholics: 63.2%, Protestants: 65.1%, Baganda: 62.1%, Basoga: 60.5%, Banyankole: 65.8% (NEMA, 2019)

Plastic waste: Female: 21.5% and Male: 24.2%

On religion, Muslims: 23.4%, Christians: 22.5%, Catholics: 21.9%, Protestants: 23.1%, and for ethnicity, Baganda: 22.9%, Basoga: 20.8%, Banyankole: 24.5% (UBOS, 2020)

Paper waste: Regarding gender of the respondents, It was clear that Male: 9.5%, Female: 8.3% and for religion, Muslims: 9.1%, Christians: 8.5%, Catholics: 8.2%, Protestants: 9.2%, Baganda: 8.9%, Basoga: 7.9%, Banyankole: 9.8% (NEMA, 2019) The Key Observations here are that Females generate slightly less waste than males. Muslims generate less waste than Christians. Baganda and Banyankole generate more waste than Basoga. Organic waste dominates the waste stream across all demographics. Plastic waste is more prevalent among males and Banyankole

Waste Collection and Transportation: Waste collection in Uganda is characterized by low coverage and inefficiency. In Kampala, for example, the Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA) is responsible for waste management but struggles with insufficient resources and

equipment to cover the entire city. As a result, informal waste collectors, who often lack the necessary training and equipment, play a significant role in waste collection. However, these informal systems are poorly regulated, leading to inconsistent service delivery and improper waste disposal practices (Katusiimeh, Burger & Mol, 2013). Transportation of waste is also problematic, with limited availability of waste collection trucks and frequent breakdowns due to poor maintenance. This results in delays in waste collection and the accumulation of waste in public spaces, contributing to environmental pollution and health hazards (Okot-Okumu & Nyenje, 2011).

Regarding interviews conducted:

Response:

“One of the biggest challenges we face is poor waste segregation by households and businesses. People often mix organic, recyclable, and hazardous waste, which makes collection difficult and time-consuming. Another issue is the poor condition of the roads, especially during the rainy season. Some areas become inaccessible, and the garbage trucks can’t reach certain neighborhoods. We also face problems with equipment—sometimes the trucks break down, which causes delays in collection.” (KI 9)

Response:

“In our area, waste collection is inconsistent. The municipal trucks are supposed to come twice a week, but sometimes they miss days, and the waste piles up. There are no designated bins for recycling, so everything is thrown together. The streets get dirty because people dump their waste in open spaces when the trucks don’t show up on time. I think the collection service needs to be more reliable, and they should provide separate bins for recycling.” (KI 10)

Waste Treatment and Disposal

Uganda’s waste treatment and disposal infrastructure is underdeveloped. The majority of waste is disposed of in landfills, most of which do not meet sanitary standards. The Kiteezi landfill, the main disposal site in Kampala, is nearing its capacity and operates with minimal environmental controls, leading to issues such as leachate contamination of groundwater and the emission of greenhouse gases (KCCA, 2020). Recycling and composting are limited, with most recyclable materials being handled by informal sector actors who lack the facilities and market access to scale their operations. There are few formal recycling facilities, and the

existing ones operate below capacity due to the inadequate supply of segregated recyclables (GIZ, 2015).

Response:

“In our facility, we primarily use mechanical and biological treatment processes. We begin by separating recyclable materials from the general waste stream through mechanical sorting. The organic waste is treated through composting or anaerobic digestion, which helps reduce the volume going to landfills and produces biogas. For non-recyclable and hazardous waste, we use incineration with energy recovery to minimize environmental impact. However, we still face challenges with handling electronic and hazardous waste, as they require specialized facilities, which are limited.”(KI 11)

Response:

“In my neighborhood, waste is collected by the municipal service twice a week, but the bins are usually overflowing by the time they come. We don’t have a proper recycling program, so everything is thrown together—plastic, food waste, paper. People in the community often burn their waste when the collection delays, especially plastics, which creates a lot of air pollution. The biggest challenge is that people don’t know much about proper waste separation or the environmental impacts of improper disposal.”(KI 12)

Response:

“Our region has adopted policies in line with national regulations, which promote the ‘3Rs’—Reduce, Reuse, Recycle. We have also implemented waste segregation at source, which is mandatory for all households and businesses. We are working on improving recycling rates and have established partnerships with private companies to help with this. However, enforcement is a challenge because of limited resources and low public awareness. We also lack the infrastructure for large-scale composting and waste-to-energy plants, which are key areas of focus in future waste management plans.(KI 13)

Regulatory Framework and Policy Implementation

Uganda has a legal and regulatory framework for waste management, including the National Environment Act and the Public Health Act, which outline responsibilities for waste management and environmental protection. However, the enforcement of these regulations is weak, and there is a lack of coordination among the various government agencies responsible

for waste management (NEMA, 2020). Uganda's waste management policy framework is fragmented and lacks a comprehensive national strategy. The primary legislative framework governing waste management is the National Environment Act, 2019, which mandates local governments to manage waste within their jurisdictions. However, the implementation and enforcement of this law are weak, leading to inconsistencies in waste management practices across the country (NEMA, 2019). Institutionally, multiple agencies are involved in waste management, including the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA), KCCA, and local governments. However, coordination among these agencies is poor, resulting in overlapping responsibilities and gaps in service delivery. The lack of a central authority to oversee and coordinate waste management activities exacerbates the inefficiencies in the system.

The inadequate waste management system in Uganda has significant environmental and public health impacts. The indiscriminate dumping of waste leads to the contamination of water bodies, soil, and air, posing risks to human health and biodiversity. For instance, the accumulation of plastic waste in urban areas has led to the clogging of drainage systems, causing flooding during the rainy season (WWF, 2020).

Moreover, the open burning of waste, a common practice in both urban and rural areas, releases harmful pollutants, including dioxins and furans, which are known to cause respiratory and cardiovascular diseases. The exposure to these pollutants disproportionately affects vulnerable populations, including children and the elderly.

The Waste Management Policies Of Japan And Sweden

Japan and Sweden are recognized globally for their advanced and effective waste management systems. Both countries have developed comprehensive policies that emphasize sustainability, resource efficiency, and public participation.

Japan's Waste Management Policies

The Fundamental Law for Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society (2000)

Japan's approach to waste management is rooted in the concept of a "Sound Material-Cycle Society," which focuses on reducing the environmental burden by promoting the efficient use of resources throughout their lifecycle. The Fundamental Law for Establishing a Sound

Material-Cycle Society, enacted in 2000, is the cornerstone of Japan's waste management policy. This law prioritizes the 3Rs: **Reduce, Reuse, Recycle** (MOEJ, 2021).

- **Reduce:** Japan emphasizes waste reduction at the source. For example, the Containers and Packaging Recycling Law (1995) encourages businesses to minimize packaging waste by using materials that are easier to recycle. This has led to a significant reduction in packaging waste and has encouraged companies to innovate in eco-friendly packaging (Yoshida et al., 2007).
- **Reuse:** The law promotes the reuse of products and materials. Japan has a strong culture of reusing items, from consumer electronics to vehicles. For instance, the Home Appliance Recycling Law (2001) requires manufacturers to collect and recycle appliances like televisions, refrigerators, and air conditioners, which has led to high reuse rates for components and materials (METI, 2016).
- **Recycle:** Japan has implemented various recycling laws, such as the Food Recycling Law (2001), which mandates businesses to recycle food waste into fertilizers or animal feed. This law has helped reduce food waste significantly and promotes a circular economy within the food industry (Japan's Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 2018).

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR)

Japan's waste management policy includes a strong Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) system, which holds manufacturers accountable for the entire lifecycle of their products, including disposal and recycling. This policy has been particularly effective in reducing electronic waste (e-waste). The Law for the Promotion of Effective Utilization of Resources (2000) mandates manufacturers to design products that are easier to recycle and to take back used products for proper disposal (Ogushi & Kandlikar, 2007).

Incineration and Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Plants

Due to limited land for landfills, Japan has invested heavily in waste-to-energy (WTE) facilities. Incineration is the primary method of waste disposal, with over 1,200 incineration plants across the country. These plants not only reduce the volume of waste but also generate electricity and heat, contributing to Japan's energy supply. The Shinagawa Incineration Plant

in Tokyo, for example, generates enough electricity to power thousands of households (Tanaka, 2014).

Sweden's Waste Management Policies

The Swedish Environmental Code (1999): Sweden's waste management policies are governed by the Swedish Environmental Code, which was enacted in 1999. The code provides a comprehensive framework for environmental protection, including waste management. It emphasizes the principle of **producer responsibility**, which requires manufacturers to manage the disposal and recycling of their products. This has been particularly successful in managing packaging waste and electronic waste (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2018).

Circular Economy and Zero Waste Vision: Sweden has embraced the concept of a circular economy, where waste is minimized by keeping products and materials in use for as long as possible. The country aims to achieve a **zero waste** society, where waste is not just minimized but also used as a resource. This vision is supported by policies that promote recycling, composting, and energy recovery (Swedish Government, 2020).

- **Recycling:** Sweden has one of the highest recycling rates in the world, with over 99% of household waste being recycled or used for energy recovery. The country's deposit-return system for bottles and cans, implemented in the 1980s, has been a major success, with return rates exceeding 85% (Pantamera, 2019).
- **Waste-to-Energy:** Sweden is a global leader in waste-to-energy (WTE) technology. The country has over 30 WTE plants, which incinerate waste to generate electricity and district heating. Remarkably, Sweden imports waste from other countries to feed its WTE plants, due to the scarcity of domestic waste. This approach not only generates energy but also reduces reliance on landfills ([Avfall Sverige, 2021](#)).

Landfill Tax and Landfill Ban

Sweden introduced a landfill tax in 2000 and followed it with a landfill ban on combustible and organic waste in 2002 and 2005, respectively. These policies have been instrumental in diverting waste from landfills and encouraging recycling and energy recovery. As a result, less than 1% of Sweden's household waste is sent to landfills (Swedish EPA, 2020).

Public Engagement and Education

Public participation is a key element of Sweden's waste management policy. The government has invested in extensive public education campaigns to raise awareness about recycling and waste reduction. These campaigns have been effective in fostering a culture of environmental responsibility among Swedish citizens. For example, the nationwide “Sort It Out” campaign has significantly increased the public’s recycling rates and reduced waste generation (Naturvårdsverket, 2017).

Japan's waste management policy emphasizes reduction, reuse, and recycling (Ministry of the Environment, 2020). Japan has implemented waste-to-energy conversion facilities to reduce landfill waste (Cheng & Hu, 2010). Its EPR policy requires manufacturers to take responsibility for waste generated by their products (Kaseva & Mwamburi, 2015). It has implemented a comprehensive waste segregation system, with separate collections for different types of waste (Ministry of the Environment, 2020). For example, Tokyo's waste management system, which includes a sophisticated waste segregation and recycling program, has achieved a recycling rate of over 60% (Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 2020). On the other hand, Sweden's waste management policy focuses on waste prevention, reduction, and recycling (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). It has implemented biogas production facilities to convert organic waste into energy (European Commission, 2019). It has implemented waste-to-energy conversion facilities to reduce landfill waste (Cheng & Hu, 2010). It has developed a comprehensive national waste plan, setting clear goals and strategies for waste management (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019). For example, Stockholm's waste management system, which includes a biogas production facility, has achieved a recycling rate of over 50% (Stockholm City Council, 2020).

Comparative Table Of Recycling, Landfill And Waste To Energy Rates

Country	Recycling rate	Landfill rate	Waste to energy rate
Uganda	Low	High	Low
Sweden	High	Low	High
Japan	High	Low	High

Source: Researcher’s analysis based on available literature

Statistically, this can be represented as seen below

Country	Tons Of Waste Per Year	Tons Of Waste Recycled	Tons Of Waste Incinerated	Tons Of Waste Landfilled	Target

Japan	45.4 million	62.4%	23.3%	12.5%	65% by 2030
Sweden	4.8 million	52%	34%	12%	Zero waste by 2040
Uganda	-	5%	-	70% disposed of unsafely	-

Source: Researcher's analysis based on available literature

Comparison of Waste Equipment in Uganda, Sweden, and Japan:

Waste process	Uganda	Japan	Sweden
Waste collection	Open trucks, compactors, and skip containers	Advanced, specialized trucks (e.g., vacuum, compactor)	Closed trucks, compactors, and underground containers
Waste disposal	Landfills, open dumping, and burning	Landfills (rare), incineration, and recycling	Landfills (rare), incineration, and recycling
Recycling facilities	Limited, mostly informal	Highly advanced, widespread	Advanced, widespread
Waste-to-energy	None	Common (e.g., Tokyo's waste-to-energy plant)	Common (e.g., Stockholm's waste-to-heat system)
Examples of equipment	Open trucks (e.g., Fuso, Isuzu), compactors (e.g., Eicher, Tata)	Specialized trucks (e.g., Hino, Mitsubishi), advanced recycling facilities (e.g., robotic sorting)	Closed trucks (e.g., Scania, Volvo), compactors (e.g., Orwak, Wastequip)

Source: Researcher's analysis based on available literature

Best Practices and Lessons Learned from Japan and Sweden for Uganda's Waste Management

Uganda can draw valuable lessons from the successful waste management practices of Japan and Sweden to address its own challenges. By adopting these best practices, Uganda can improve its waste management system, enhance environmental protection, and create economic opportunities. Below are key practices and lessons that Uganda can learn from these two countries:

Adoption of the 3Rs: Reduce, Reuse, Recycle: Japan has successfully implemented the 3Rs (Reduce, Reuse, Recycle) as a fundamental principle of its waste management strategy. This approach emphasizes reducing waste at the source, reusing materials, and recycling as much

as possible. For example, Japan's Containers and Packaging Recycling Law has significantly reduced the amount of packaging waste and promoted the use of recyclable materials (Yoshida et al., 2007). Sweden also places a strong emphasis on recycling, achieving a recycling rate of over 99% for household waste. The country's deposit-return system for beverage containers ensures high recycling rates, with over 85% of bottles and cans being returned for recycling (Pantamera, 2019).

The lesson is that Uganda can implement policies that encourage the reduction of waste at the source, promote the reuse of materials, and establish robust recycling systems. Introducing a deposit-return system for beverage containers could significantly reduce plastic waste and encourage recycling.

Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR): Japan has a well-established Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) system, which requires manufacturers to take responsibility for the entire lifecycle of their products, including disposal and recycling. The Law for the Promotion of Effective Utilization of Resources mandates that manufacturers design products that are easier to recycle and take back used products for proper disposal (Ogushi & Kandlikar, 2007). Sweden also employs EPR, particularly in managing packaging waste and electronic waste. The Swedish Environmental Code enforces producer responsibility, ensuring that manufacturers are accountable for the environmental impact of their products (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2018). Uganda can introduce EPR policies that hold manufacturers accountable for the disposal and recycling of their products. This can help reduce the burden on municipal waste management systems and promote environmentally friendly product designs.

Investment in Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Technologies: Japan has invested heavily in waste-to-energy (WTE) facilities due to limited space for landfills. Incineration is the primary method of waste disposal, and the energy generated from waste incineration contributes to the country's electricity supply. The Shinagawa Incineration Plant in Tokyo is an example of how WTE can be integrated into urban waste management (Tanaka, 2014). Sweden is a global leader in WTE, with over 30 WTE plants that convert waste into electricity and district heating. Sweden's WTE plants are so efficient that the country imports waste from other countries to fuel its energy production (Avfall Sverige, 2021). Given the challenges of landfill capacity and environmental pollution, Uganda can explore the development of WTE facilities. These plants could help reduce the volume of waste while generating electricity and heat

Enforcing Landfill Bans and Implementing Landfill Taxes: Sweden has significantly reduced the use of landfills by introducing a landfill tax in 2000 and banning the landfill disposal of combustible and organic waste in 2002 and 2005, respectively. These measures have incentivized recycling and waste-to-energy practices, leading to less than 1% of household waste being sent to landfills (Swedish EPA, 2020). Uganda can implement a landfill tax and gradually introduce bans on the disposal of specific types of waste, such as organic and combustible materials. These measures would encourage waste diversion from landfills to more sustainable practices like recycling and composting, reducing environmental pollution and conserving landfill space.

Promoting Public Awareness and Participation: Public engagement is a cornerstone of Sweden's waste management success. The Swedish government has invested in extensive public education campaigns, such as the nationwide “**Sort It Out**” initiative, which has significantly increased recycling rates and reduced waste generation. Citizens are well-informed about the importance of waste sorting and recycling, leading to high levels of public participation in waste management programs ([Naturvårdsverket, 2017](#)). Uganda can enhance its waste management efforts by promoting public awareness and participation. This can be achieved through educational campaigns that inform citizens about the benefits of recycling, proper waste disposal, and the environmental impact of waste. Schools, community organizations, and media can play a key role in fostering a culture of environmental responsibility.

Developing Comprehensive Waste Management Policies: Japan's waste management is guided by a comprehensive policy framework, including the Fundamental Law for Establishing a Sound Material-Cycle Society. This law integrates various aspects of waste management, from waste reduction and recycling to the safe disposal of hazardous waste. It also emphasizes the importance of creating a circular economy where resources are used efficiently (MOEJ, 2021). Uganda can develop a comprehensive national waste management policy that addresses the entire waste management cycle, from waste generation to disposal. This policy should include clear guidelines on waste reduction, recycling, and the management of hazardous waste. Additionally, the policy should promote the development of a circular economy, where waste is minimized, and resources are reused and recycled.

In a nutshell:

- a) For the case of Japan:

1. **Waste Reduction and Recycling:** Japan's waste management policy emphasizes reduction, reuse, and recycling (Ministry of the Environment, 2020).
2. **Waste-to-Energy Conversion:** Japan has implemented waste-to-energy conversion facilities to reduce landfill waste (Cheng & Hu, 2010).
3. **Extended Producer Responsibility:** Japan's EPR policy requires manufacturers to take responsibility for waste generated by their products (Kaseva & Mwamburi, 2015).
4. **Waste Segregation:** Japan has implemented a comprehensive waste segregation system, with separate collections for different types of waste (Ministry of the Environment, 2020).

Tokyo's waste management system, which includes a sophisticated waste segregation and recycling program, has achieved a recycling rate of over 60% (Tokyo Metropolitan Government, 2020).

As far as Sweden is concerned:

- a) **Waste Prevention:** Sweden's waste management policy focuses on waste prevention, reduction, and recycling (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019).
- b) **Biogas Production:** Sweden has implemented biogas production facilities to convert organic waste into energy (European Commission, 2019).
- c) **Waste-to-Energy Conversion:** Sweden has implemented waste-to-energy conversion facilities to reduce landfill waste (Cheng & Hu, 2010).
- d) **National Waste Plan:** Sweden has developed a comprehensive national waste plan, setting clear goals and strategies for waste management (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency, 2019).

Conclusion

The comparison of waste management practices between Uganda, Japan, and Sweden reveals significant contrasts in approach, infrastructure, and outcomes. Japan and Sweden, both leaders in sustainable waste management, have developed comprehensive and integrated systems that emphasize the principles of reduction, reuse, and recycling, backed by strong policy frameworks and public participation. These countries have effectively minimized landfill use, promoted circular economy practices, and harnessed waste-to-energy technologies to convert

waste into valuable resources. In contrast, Uganda faces considerable challenges in waste management, including inadequate infrastructure, limited recycling initiatives, and insufficient public awareness. The majority of waste in Uganda ends up in poorly managed landfills or is disposed of illegally, leading to environmental degradation and public health risks. However, there are valuable lessons that Uganda can learn from Japan and Sweden. By adopting the 3Rs framework, implementing Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR), investing in waste-to-energy technologies, and enhancing public engagement, Uganda can significantly improve its waste management system. Developing a comprehensive national waste management policy, informed by the best practices of Japan and Sweden, will be crucial in addressing the current challenges and moving towards a more sustainable and efficient waste management future. Through these efforts, Uganda can not only protect its environment and public health but also create economic opportunities through recycling and resource recovery.

References:

- Avfall Sverige. (2021). *Swedish Waste Management 2021*.
https://www.avfallsverige.se/fileadmin/user_upload/publikationer/swedish_waste_management_2021.pdf
- Cheng, H., & Hu, Y. (2010). Waste-To-Energy Conversion: A Review. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, 91(10), 2313-2324.
- European Commission (2019). *Waste Management Framework Directive*.
- Japan Ministry Of The Environment (MOEJ). (2021). *Fundamental Law For Establishing A Sound Material-Cycle Society*. https://www.env.go.jp/en/recycle/smcs/a_step_1.pdf
- Kampala Capital City Authority (KCCA). (2021). *Waste Management Policy*.
https://www.kcca.go.ug/uploads/kcca_waste_management_policy.pdf
- Kaseva, M. E., & Mwamburi, M. (2015). Waste Reduction And Recycling In Developing Countries: A Review. *Journal Of Environmental Management*, 157, 286-295.
- Ministry Of The Environment (2020). *Japan's Waste Management Policy*.
- National Environment Management Authority (2020). *Uganda's Waste Management Practices*.
- Ogushi, Y., & Kandlikar, M. (2007). Assessing Extended Producer Responsibility Laws In Japan. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(13), 4502–4508.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/es062859o>
- Pantamera. (2019). *About Us: Pantamera And Returnpack*. <https://pantamera.nu/en/about-us/>
- Stockholm City Council (2020). *Waste Management Report*.
- Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (2019). *Sweden's Waste Management Policy*.
- Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. (2018). *Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) In Sweden*. <https://www.naturvardsverket.se/om-naturvardsverket/publikationer/ISBN/6800/978-91-620-6828-8/>
- Swedish Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). *Waste Management In Sweden: Circular Economy And Zero Waste*. <https://www.naturvardsverket.se/omraden/avfall-och-skatter/>
- Tanaka, M. (2014). *Waste Management: Recycling And Recovery*. Springer.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-5756-1_2
- Tokyo Metropolitan Government (2020). *Waste Management Report*.
- United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2018). *Waste Management In Uganda: A Baseline Report*.

https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/26555/Waste_Management_UGA.Pdf?sequence=1&isallowed=Y

Yoshida, H., Shimamura, K., & Aizawa, H. (2007). 3R Strategies For The Establishment Of An International Sound Material-Cycle Society. *Waste Management*, 27(1), 102–109.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2006.12.014>